



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		M-7970-US	3399
09/468,249	12/10/1999	MIKE CHANG	[VI-7970 - 03	3377
24251 7	590 05/22/2002			
SKJERVEN MORRILL LLP			EXAMINER	
25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700			RAO, SHRINIVAS H	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· .		A - 1: - 4: N -	
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office	Antina Cumana	09/468,249	CHANG ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
*		Steven H. Rao	2814
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s - If NO period for reply within - Any reply received by	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT by be available under the provisions of 37. For from the mailing date of this communical specified above is less than thirty (30) day is specified above, the maximum statutory the set or extended period for reply will, b	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of th	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsiv	re to communication(s) filed o	n 27 March 2002 .	
<u></u>	_	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this	-ر application is in condition for accordance with the practice u	_	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
· <u> </u>	.9 is/are pending in the applic	ation	
	bove claim(s) is/are wi		
	is/are allowed.	andrawn from Consideration.	
,			
			entropy of the second of the s
_	is/are objected to.	and/ar algetics results and	
Application Papers	are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification	ation is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing	(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant m	ay not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The propose	d drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved	, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or o	declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
riority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledg	ment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1.☐ Certif	ied copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2.☐ Certif	ied copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No
aj	oplication from the Internation	e priority documents have beer all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage received.
		•	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The tran 15)⊠ Acknowledgn	nslation of the foreign languag	ge provisional application has bomestic priority under 35 U.S.C	een received.
Attachment(s)			
	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Of	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of paper submitted under 35 U.S.C. 120, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 3/27/2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/468249 is acceptable and a CPA has been established on April 04, 2002. An action on the CPA follows.

Preliminary Amendment Status

Acknowledgment is made of entry of preliminary amendment filed 3 /27/ 02 which has been entered on April 04, 2002.

Therefore claim 1 as amended by the preliminary amendment and presently newly added claim 9 and claims 2-8 as previously recited are currently pending in the application.

Claims are examined by examiner as best understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9 the phrase "wherein the portion of the one lead of the lead frame that is co planar with the second side of the die extends laterally to be coplanar" renders the claim indefinite because the term "wherein the portion of the one lead of the lead frame that is co planar with the second side of the die extends laterally to be coplanar" is not clear. It is not clear what applicants mean by extending coplanar extending in reference to what element and coplanar with what element.

Further the specification, prosecution history, prior art and one skilled in the art do not indicate as to what specific activity is covered by the recited language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,249

Art Unit: 2814

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Publication No. 9-64421 (herein after Yamada-I) and Japanaese Patent Publication no. 6-177429 (herein after Yamada – II) both previously applied.

With respect to claim 1, Yamada I and II substantially describe all of the recited features as previously stated in the office actions and incorporated herein by reference.

The newly added limitation," and the at least one lead being in electrical contact with the second electrical terminal (Yamada –I fig.1 the lead from element 5 is in electrical contact with element (second electrical terminal)2).

Applicants' alleged that claims 2-8 were allowable because they depend upon allegedly allowable claim 1

However as shown above claim 1 is not allowable therefore claims 2-8 (claims 3-8 are rejected over Yamda-I,II and Umemoto) are also not allowable.

With respect to claim 9, to the extent understood, wherein the portion of the one lead of the lead frame that is co planar with the second side of the die extends laterally to be coplanar (Yamada fig. 1 lead from 5 extends laterally towards 7 (above2) with which it is co planar in a portion).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/27/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because :

Applicants' argue that the lead in Jp-9 (sic. 6)-177429 contacting terminal 7 is not coplanar with the top surface.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,249

Art Unit: 2814

However the claim1 recites, " at least one lead frame containing a portion that is

Page 5

coplanar with the second side of the die' (emphasis supplied). (i.e.any portion of the

lead wire and not specifically the upper portion)

As pointed out in the O/A mailed 6/20/01 page 2 the above limitation is meet by

Yamada Fig.1 lead end out of 5 i.e. the beginning of the lead wire above 5 is coplanar

with 7 that is above 2).

It is noted that the argued limitation "the upper lead wire is not coplanar "is not

recited the claim and need not be given patenable weight.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

examiner should be directed to Steven H. Rao whose telephone number is (703) 306-

5945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday from approximately

7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The

Group facsimile number is (703) 308-7722.

Steven H. Rao

Patent Examiner

May 18, 2002.

JEROME JACKSON

GROUP 2500